

CAP CONSISTENCY REVIEW CHECKLIST

1.1 INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE

The City of Manteca Climate Action Plan Update identifies goals, measures and actions to meet the State's 2030 and 2045 targets to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, which are consistent with California Air Resources Board's 2022 Scoping Plan Update for Achieving Carbon Neutrality and statewide reduction targets pursuant to Senate Bill 32 and Assembly Bill 32. As part of the CAP implementation, that CAP Consistency Review Checklist has been created to ensure that new development within the City is implemented in compliance with CAP goals and measures.

1.2 PURPOSE OF THE CONSISTENCY REVIEW CHECKLIST

The purpose of the CAP's Consistency Review Checklist is listed below.

1. Streamline the environmental review process for GHG emissions analysis for projects which are not exempt from environmental review pursuant to CEQA and are consistent with the CAP.
2. Integrate applicable GHG reduction actions and measures into projects which may not be binding or enforceable.
3. Provide a guide for projects to demonstrate consistency with local plans, policies, and regulations which reduce GHG emissions as part of the completion of CEQA Guidelines Appendix G Environmental Checklist.

Projects showing consistency with the forecasted emissions within the CAP and Manteca 2043 General Plan can use the checklist to streamline the analysis of GHG emissions. The CAP acts as a plan for the reduction of GHG emissions which is in accordance with CEQA Guidelines Section 15183.5.

Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Sections 15064(h)(3), 15130(d), and 15183(b), a project's incremental contribution to cumulative GHG emissions may be determined to be less than significant if it complies with the applicable measures in a "plan for the reduction of GHG emissions" (e.g., CAP). Any projects that cannot demonstrate consistency with the CAP and require discretionary review using this Checklist would be required to prepare a separate, more detailed project-level GHG analysis as part of the applicable CEQA document.

1.3 SECTIONS OF THE CONSISTENCY REVIEW CHECKLIST

The CAP Consistency Review Checklist is divided into three sections. Section A lists the requirements for the Checklist and Applicant information. Section B determines consistency with the City's General Plan. Section C contains the Consistency Review Checklist questions.

Section B. Consistency with the General Plan Land Use

Section B allows the City to determine a project’s consistency with the land use and zoning designations outlined in the General Plan. If a project is consistent with the current land use and zoning designations, the project may be considered to be within the scope of emissions predicted within the CAP. The questions below must be answered, as applicable, to evaluate whether a project is consistent with the City of Manteca’s General Plan and zoning designations and the projected GHG emissions related to the CAP.

<p>1. Are the proposed land uses in the project consistent with the existing City of Manteca’s General Plan land use and zoning designations?</p> <p><i>If “Yes”, questions 2 and 3 below are not applicable and the project shall proceed to Section C of the checklist.</i></p> <p><i>If “No”, proceed to Question 2 below.</i></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>No</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>2. Is a General Plan amendment or rezoning required for the proposed project?</p> <p><i>If “Yes” then proceed to Question 3 below.</i></p> <p><i>If “No” Question 3 is not applicable, and the project shall proceed to Section C of the checklist.</i></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>No</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>3. If the proposed project is not consistent with the General Plan and/or zoning designations and requires an amendment or rezoning, would the designation amendment result in an equivalent or less GHG emissions when compared to the existing designation?</p> <p><i>If “Yes” then attach to the checklist the estimated emissions of the proposed and existing designations for comparison. Within the analysis compare the maximum buildout emissions of the proposed designation to the maximum buildout emissions of the existing designation. If the proposed designation emissions are equivalent or less than the GHG emissions of the existing designations, then proceed to Section C of the checklist.</i></p> <p><i>If “No” the applicant must conduct a full GHG impact analysis as part of the CEQA process. To mitigate the cumulative GHG emissions, the project shall incorporate applicable measures identified in Section C.</i></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>No</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/></p>

Section C. CAP Measure Checklist

The Checklist will act as documentation of the project's compliance with the City of Manteca's CAP. The checklist is applicable to all new development requiring discretionary review, environmental review, or otherwise not exempt from CEQA. In order to show consistency with the CAP all applicable measures must be answered "Yes" and provide relevant documentation of the project features and design.

If marked "No" on any applicable measures, then the project is not consistent with the CAP and shall be required to conduct additional project specific GHG analysis.

If marked "N/A" a statement on the reasoning for why the question is not applicable shall be provided to the satisfaction of the City of Manteca.

1. Transportation and VMT Reduction				
Checklist Requirement	Corresponding CAP Measure	YES	NO	N/A
a. Does the project fulfill the Green Streets standards?	TR.1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Does the project design include traffic calming and congestion management measures? <i>(Examples include but not limited to speed tables, raised cross walks, curb extensions, roundabouts, etc.)</i>	TR.2	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. Would the project connect and incorporate bicycle and pedestrian paths, consistent with the City's Active Transportation Plan?	TR.3 TR.9	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. Will the project incorporate updated parking standards to discourage single passenger gas fueled vehicles, as applicable to the new development land use?	TR.4	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. Would the project incorporate applicable CALGreen codes and standards related to Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment (EVSE)?	TR.6	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. Is the project a member of a Transportation Management Associations (TMA)?	TR.7	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
If "N/A" has been chosen, provide a statement explaining why the measure is not applicable. <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/>				
Notes: 1. The City will verify that the requirements of this checklist are met at the time of discretionary project review.				

2. Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment (EVSE) Requirements				
Checklist Requirement	Corresponding CAP Measure	YES	NO	N/A
a. For the construction of residential single units and townhouses, would each dwelling unit be consistent with the EV Capable requirements listed in CALGreen Section 4.106.4.1?	TR.6	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. For the construction of multi-unit residential units, hotels and motels, and new residential parking facilities, would the development be consistent with the requirements listed in CALGreen Section 4.106.4.2?	TR.6	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. For additions and alterations of existing multi-family parking facilities, would the project be consistent with the requirements listed in CALGreen Section 4.106.4.3?	TR.6	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. For new non-residential projects not listed above, would the project EV infrastructure be consistent with the requirements listed in CALGreen Section 5.106.5.3?	TR.6	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. For the construction of warehouses, grocery, retail stores, office buildings, and manufacturing facilities with off-street loading spaces, would the project be consistent with the requirements listed in CALGreen Section 5.106.5.5.1?	TR.6	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
If "N/A" has been chosen, provide a statement explaining why the measure is not applicable. <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/>				
Notes: 1. "EV Capable" is defined as a parking space with electrical panel space and load capacity to support a branch circuit and necessary raceways, both underground and/or surface mounted, to support EV charging. 2. "EV Ready" is defined as an EV capable space terminating in a receptacle or a charger. 3. The calculation for spaces shall be rounded up to the nearest whole number. 4. For the purpose of this Checklist, electric vehicle supply equipment (EVSE) is defined by Article 625.2 of the California Electrical Code. General Notes:				

- a) By answering "Yes" to this checklist question, it is understood that the project will be compliant with Measure TR.6.
- b) Verification that the requirements of this checklist question are being met will be conducted during the issuance of building permits for the project.

3. Energy Efficiency				
Checklist Requirement	Corresponding CAP Measure	YES	NO	N/A
a. For single-unit and/or multi-unit residential projects which the buildings conditioning area increases in volume or size, would the addition comply with CALGreen Residential Tier 1 efficiency standards?	EG.3	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. For new single unit and/or multi-unit residential units, would the project comply with CALGreen Residential Tier 1 efficiency standards?	EG.3	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. For nonresidential projects, which meet the requirements , would comply with the CALGreen nonresidential Tier 1 energy efficiency standards for additions and alterations.	EG.5	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. Would the project (if constructed on or after January 1, 2025) comply with the adopted Model landscape ordinance (MWELO)?	WC.2	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
If "N/A" has been chosen, provide a statement explaining why the measure is not applicable. <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/>				
Notes: 1. Refer to Section 301 of CALGreen for specific requirements in the code which apply to additions and alterations. 2. For Energy Budget calculations as part of CALGreen Tier 1 standards, high-rise residential (four stories or higher) and hotel/motel buildings are considered nonresidential buildings. 3. Verification that the requirements of this checklist question are being met will be conducted during the issuance of building permits for the project.				

4. Solar Photovoltaic System Readiness				
Checklist Requirement	Corresponding CAP Measure	YES	NO	N/A
a. For existing non-residential, hotel and motel, and mixed-use projects, would the project follow the performance compliance approach specified in the 2022 California Energy Code Title 24, Part 6, Section 140.1?	EG.4	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Would the project follow the prescriptive compliance consistent with the 2022 California Energy Code Title 24, Part 6, Section 140.3 through 140.10?	EG.1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. Would the project subscribe to a community shared solar electric generation or/and a community battery storage as a form of compliance to meet the on-site solar electric generation as outlined in Title 24, Part 1, Section 10-115?	EG.1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
If "N/A" has been chosen, provide a statement explaining why the measure is not applicable. <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/>				
Notes: Please provide the following information as part of the building permit submittal to verify the project meets the requirements of this question. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. For projects using the Performance approach: Supply a copy of the T-24 calculations per software approved by the CEC established through the Alternative Calculation Method Approval Manual (ACM Manual). b. For projects using the prescriptive approach: Provide documentation to show CEC compliance per sections 140.3 through 140.9 and identify each area of compliance in the construction plans at the first building plan submittal to the building department. c. For projects using a community shared solar approach: Provide documentation from PG&E or local provider showing acceptance into a community shared solar program that complies with SB 43 and the Building Energy Efficiency Standards and serves the area to be constructed. 				

5. Energy Emissions Reduction in New Construction				
Checklist Requirement	Corresponding CAP Measure	YES	NO	N/A
a. For new residential development projects, would the project or a portion of the project request the issuance of building permits on or after January 1, 2026? If “Yes”, proceed to question b below.	EG.5 EG.6	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. For single-unit residential projects, would the project or portions of the project permitted after January 1, 2026, be consistent with the current CALGreen Code and consider adopting Tier 1 voluntary standards?	EG.5 EG.6	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. For multi-unit residential projects, would the project or portions of the project permitted after January 1, 2025, be consistent with the current CALGreen Code and consider adopting Tier 1 voluntary standards?	EG.5 EG.6	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. For commercial projects larger than 3,000 square feet, would a minimum of 15 percent of the estimated energy demand be met through renewable sources?	EG.3	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
If “N/A” has been chosen, provide a statement explaining why the measure is not applicable. <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/>				
Notes: 1. Reductions in natural gas could be achieved through the installation of additional electric appliances (both space and water heating, electric or induction stoves, etc.) or through an increase in the percentage of all-electric homes. 2. General Notes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Verification that the requirements of this checklist question are being met will be conducted during the conditions of approval for the project. 				

6. Tier Four Engine Construction Equipment Requirements

Checklist Requirement	Corresponding CAP Measure	YES	NO	N/A
a. For the construction of new residential and nonresidential projects, would the construction fleet used during construction meet the applicable Environmental Protection Agency certified off-road Tier 4 diesel engines tier/standards at the time of construction?	TR.8	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
If "N/A" has been chosen, provide a statement explaining why the measure is not applicable. <hr/> <hr/> <hr/>				
Footnotes: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. By answering "Yes", the applicant is agreeing to the requirements of this checklist question. During the project's grading permit approval stage, the applicant would be required to provide a list of all pieces of construction equipment that would be used in project construction including equipment manufacturer, equipment model number, type of equipment, and engine model year, and engine tier. 2. Verification that the requirements of this checklist question are being met will be conducted during the issuance of building permits for the project. 				